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#### Abstract

We consider the six-vertex model with anti-periodic boundary conditions across a finite strip. The row-to-row transfer matrix is diagonalized by the 'commuting transfer matrices' method. From the exact solution we obtain an independent derivation of the interfacial tension of the six-vertex model in the anti-ferroelectric phase. The nature of the corresponding integrable boundary condition on the $X X Z$ spin chain is also discussed.


## 1. Introduction and main results

The six-vertex model and related spin- $\frac{1}{2} X X Z$ chain play a central role in the theory of exactly solved lattice models [1]. Typically the six-vertex model is 'solved' by diagonalizing the row-to-row transfer matrix with periodic boundary conditions.' Several methods have evolved for doing this, including the coordinate Bethe ansatz [1,2], the algebraic Bethe ansatz [3,4], and the analytic ansatz [5]. All of these methods rely heavily on the conservation of arrow flux from row to row of the lattice.

In terms of the vertex weights (see figure 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\rho \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\lambda-v) \quad b=\rho \sinh \frac{1}{2}(\lambda+v) \quad c=\rho \sinh \lambda \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the transfer matrix eigenvalues on a strip of width $N$ are given by [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(v) q(v)=\phi(\lambda-v) q\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)+\phi(\lambda+v) q\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda^{\prime}=\lambda-\mathrm{i} \pi  \tag{1.3}\\
& \phi(v)=\rho^{N} \sinh ^{N}\left(\frac{1}{2} v\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& q(v)=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \sinh \frac{1}{2}\left(v-v_{k}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The Bethe ansatz equations follow from (1.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi\left(\lambda-v_{j}\right)}{\phi\left(\lambda+v_{j}\right)}=-\frac{q\left(v_{j}-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{q\left(v_{j}+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)} \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integer $n$ labels the sectors of the transfer matrix.


Figure 1. Standard vertex configurations and corresponding weights.

Here we consider the same six-vertex model with anti-periodic boundary conditions. That such boundary conditions should preserve integrability is known through the existence of commuting transfer matrices [6]. However, the solution itself has not been found previously. In section 2 we solve the anti-periodic six-vertex model by the 'commuting transfer matrices' method [1]. This approach has its origin in the solution of the more general eight-vertex model [7], which, like the present problem, no longer enjoys arrow conservation. We find the transfer matrix eigenvalues to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(v) q(v)=\phi(\lambda-v) q\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)-\phi(\lambda+v) q\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(v)=\prod_{k=1}^{N} \sinh \frac{1}{4}\left(v-v_{k}\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the Bethe ansatz equations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi\left(\lambda-v_{j}\right)}{\phi\left(\lambda+v_{j}\right)}=\frac{q\left(v_{j}-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)}{q\left(v_{j}+2 \dot{\lambda}^{\prime}\right)} \quad-j=1, \ldots, N . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast with the periodic case the number of roots is fixed at $N$.
In section 3 we use this solution to derive the interfacial tension $s$ of the six-vertex model in the anti-ferroelectric regime. Defining $x=\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda}$, our final result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-s / k_{B} T}=2 x^{1 / 2} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+x^{4 m}}{1+x^{4 m-2}}\right)^{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with the result obtained from the asymptotic degeneracy of the two largest eigenvalues $[1,8]$.

With anti-periodic boundary conditions on the vertex model, the related $X X Z$ Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{j=1}^{N}\left(\sigma_{j}^{x} \sigma_{j+1}^{x}+\sigma_{j}^{y} \sigma_{j+1}^{y}+\cosh \lambda \sigma_{j}^{z} \sigma_{j+1}^{z}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma^{x}, \sigma^{y}$ and $\sigma^{z}$ are the usual Pauli matrices, with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{N+1}^{x}=\sigma_{1}^{x} \quad \sigma_{N+1}^{y}=-\sigma_{1}^{y} \quad \sigma_{N+1}^{z}=-\sigma_{1}^{z} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This boundary condition has appeared previously, being of relevance to the operator content of the Ashkin-Teller chain [9], and is amongst the class of toroidal boundary conditions for which the operator content of the $X X Z$ chain has been determined by finite-size studies [ 9,10$]$. Thus we see it is an integrable boundary condition, with the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian following from (1.7) in the usual way [1], with result

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=N \cosh \lambda-\sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{2 \cosh \frac{1}{2} \lambda \sinh \lambda}{\sinh \frac{1}{2} v_{j}+\sinh \frac{1}{2} \lambda} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We anticipate that the approach adopted here may also be successful in solving other models without arrow conservation. The solution given here can be extended, for example, to the spin- $S$ generalization of the six-vertex model $/ X X Z$ chain [11].

## 2. Exact solution

To obtain the result (1.7) we adapt, where appropriate, the derivation of the periodic result (1.2) (specifically, we refer the reader to chapter 9 of [1]).

We depict a vertex and its corresponding Boltzmann weight graphically, as

where the bond 'spins' $\mu, \alpha, \beta$ and $\mu^{\prime}$ are each +1 if the corresponding arrow points up or to the right and -1 if the arrow points down or to the left. Thus in terms of the parametrization (1.1) the non-zero vertex weights are

$$
\begin{align*}
& w(+,+\mid+,+)=w(-,-1,-,-)=a \\
& w(+,-\mid-,+)=w(-,+\mid,+,-)=b  \tag{2.1}\\
& w(+,-\mid+,-)=w(-,+1,-,+)=c
\end{align*}
$$

The row-to-row transfer matrix $T$ has elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha \beta}=\sum_{\mu_{1}} \cdots \sum_{\mu_{N}} \mu_{1} \frac{\left.\left.\left.\right|_{\alpha_{1}} ^{\beta_{1}}\right|_{\alpha_{2}} ^{\beta_{2}} \cdots\right|_{\mu_{N}} ^{\left.\right|_{\alpha_{N}}} \mu_{N+3} . \beta_{N}}{} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{N}\right\}, \beta=\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{N}\right\}$, and the anti-periodic boundary condition is such that $\mu_{N+1}=-\mu_{1}$. Now consider an eigenvector $y$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=g_{1} \otimes g_{2} \otimes \cdots \otimes g_{N} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$ are two-dimensional vectors. From equation (2.2) the product $T y$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T y)_{\alpha}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[G_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) G_{2}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \cdots G_{N}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) S\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{i}( \pm)$ are $2 \times 2$ matrices with elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}(\alpha)_{\mu \mu^{\prime}}=\sum_{\beta} \mu-\left.\right|_{\alpha} ^{\beta} \mu^{\prime} \quad g_{i}(\beta) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of the spin reversal operator

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{2.6}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

in equation (2.4) is the key difference with the periodic case. However, it does not effect much of the working. Using equations (2.1) and (2.5) we have

$$
G_{i}(+)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a g_{i}(+) & 0  \tag{2.7}\\
c g_{i}(-) & b g_{i}(+)
\end{array}\right) \quad G_{i}(-)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b g_{i}(-) & c g_{i}(+) \\
0 & a g_{i}(-)
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, there still exist the same $2 \times 2$ matrices $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{N}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{i}(\alpha)=P_{i} H_{i}(\alpha) P_{i+1}^{-1} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{i}$ and $H_{i}$ are of the form

$$
P_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
p_{i}(+) & \star  \tag{2.9}\\
p_{i}(-) & \star
\end{array}\right) \quad H_{i}(\alpha)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{i}^{\prime}(\alpha) & g_{i}^{\prime \prime \prime}(\alpha) \\
0 & g_{i}^{\prime \prime}(\alpha)
\end{array}\right)
$$

As for the periodic case, (2.8) follows from the local 'pair-propagation through a vertex' property, i.e. the existence of $g_{i}(\alpha), g_{i}^{\prime}(\alpha), p_{i}(\alpha), p_{i+1}(\alpha)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta, \mu^{\prime}} w\left(\mu, \alpha \mid \beta, \mu^{\prime}\right) g_{i}(\beta) p_{i+1}\left(\mu^{\prime}\right)=g_{i}^{\prime}(\alpha) p_{i}(\mu) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\alpha, \mu= \pm 1$. The available parameters are [1]

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{i}(+)=1 & g_{i}(-)=r_{i} \mathrm{e}^{(\lambda+p) \sigma_{i} / 2} \\
g_{i}^{\prime}(+)=a & g_{i}^{\prime}(-)=-a r_{i} \mathrm{e}^{(3 \lambda+v) \sigma_{i} / 2}  \tag{2.11}\\
p_{i}(+)=1 & p_{i}(-)=r_{i}
\end{array}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}= \pm 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}=(-)^{i} r \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(\sigma_{1}+\cdots+\sigma_{i-1}\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, $p_{N+1}$ needs to be different from the periodic case (where $p_{N+1}=p_{1}$ ). The anti-periodicity suggests that we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{p_{N+1}(+)}{p_{N+1}(-)}=h\binom{p_{1}(-)}{p_{1}(+)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is some scalar. Since we already require $p_{i}(+)=1$ and $p_{i}(-)=r_{i}$, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{1}=\frac{1}{h}=-r \quad \text { and } \quad r_{N+1}=h=-\frac{1}{r} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2}=(-)^{N} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\left(\sigma_{1}+\cdots+\sigma_{N}\right)} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed further, we write $P_{1}$ and $P_{N+1}$ in full,
$P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p_{1}(+) & q_{1}(+) \\ p_{1}(-) & q_{1}(-)\end{array}\right) \quad P_{N+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}h p_{1}(-) & q_{N+1}(+) \\ h p_{1}(+) & q_{N+1}(-)\end{array}\right)$.
Then

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{N+1}^{-1} S P_{1} & =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} P_{N+1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
q_{N+1}(-) & -q_{N+1}(+) \\
-h p_{1}(+) & h p_{1}(-)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 / h & \star \\
0 & -h \frac{\operatorname{det} p_{1}}{\operatorname{det} p_{N+1}}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting the pieces together we then have

$$
\begin{align*}
(T y)_{\alpha} & =\operatorname{Tr}\left[P_{1} H_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots H_{N}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) P_{N+1}^{-1} S\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{h} g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots g_{N}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{N}\right)-h \frac{\operatorname{det} P_{1}}{\operatorname{det} P_{N+1}} g_{1}^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots g_{N}^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha_{N}\right) . \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

However, as for the periodic case, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}^{\prime \prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=a b \frac{g_{i}^{2}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \operatorname{det} P_{i+1}}{g_{i}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right) \operatorname{det} P_{i}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows from (2.7)-(2.9). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T y)_{\alpha}=-r g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots g_{N}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{N}\right)+\frac{1}{r}(a b)^{N} \frac{g_{1}^{2}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots g_{N}^{2}\left(\alpha_{N}\right)}{g_{1}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \ldots g_{N}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{N}\right)} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point it is more convenient to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(v)=h_{1}(v) \otimes h_{2}(v) \otimes \cdots \otimes h_{N}(v) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i}(v)=\binom{1}{r_{i} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+v) \sigma_{i}}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result (2.20) can then be more conveniently written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v) y(v)=-r a^{N} y\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)+\frac{1}{r} b^{N} y\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also let

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\sigma}^{ \pm}(v)=\exp \left(-\frac{v}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\right) y(\alpha) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $r=\mp \exp \left(\frac{\lambda^{\prime}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}\right)$. Then from (2.23) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v) y_{\sigma}^{ \pm}(v)= \pm \phi(\lambda-v) y_{\sigma}^{ \pm}\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \mp \phi(\lambda+v) y_{\sigma}^{ \pm}\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed further, let $Q_{R}^{ \pm}(v)$ be a matrix whose columns are a linear combination of $y_{\sigma}^{ \pm}$with different choices of $\sigma\left(2^{N}\right.$ altogether). It follows from (2.25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(v) Q_{R}^{ \pm}(v)= \pm \phi(\lambda-v) Q_{R}^{ \pm}\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \mp \phi(\lambda+v) Q_{R}^{ \pm}\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that the transpose of the transfer matrix has the property $T(-v)={ }^{t} T(v)$. With $Q_{L}^{\mp}(v)={ }^{2} Q_{R}^{ \pm}(-v)$ it follows from (2.26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{L}^{ \pm}(v) T(v)= \pm \phi(\lambda-v) Q_{L}^{ \pm}\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) \mp \phi(\lambda+v) Q_{L}^{ \pm}\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $Q_{R}(v)=Q_{R}^{+}(v)$ and $Q_{L}(v)=Q_{L}^{+}(v) \dagger$. Then we can show that the 'commutation relations'

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{L}(u) Q_{R}(v)=Q_{L}(v) Q_{R}(u) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold for arbitrary $u$ and $v$. This result follows if we can prove that $F_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}={ }^{t} y_{\sigma}^{-}(-u) y_{\sigma^{\prime}}^{+}(v)$ is a symmetric function of $(u, v)$ for all choices of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$. Using equations (2.24), (2.21) and (2.22) this function reads

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}=\exp \left(\frac{u}{4}\right. & \left.\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}-\frac{v}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{N}\left[1-(-)^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\times \exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda-u) \sigma_{j}+\frac{1}{2}(\lambda+v) \sigma_{j}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \lambda\left[\sum_{i=j}^{N}\left(\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}\left(\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right]\right\}\right] . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Now suppose that in $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ there are $p$ pairs ( $\sigma_{i_{k}}, \sigma_{i_{k}}^{\prime}$ ), where $\sigma_{i_{k}}+\sigma_{i_{k}}^{\prime}=0$ with $k=1, \ldots, p$. The terms in $F_{\sigma \sigma^{\prime}}$ which involve these $\sigma_{i_{k}}$ (in the prefactor and in the $j=i_{k}$ terms) are manifestly symmetric in ( $u, v$ ). The remaining terms are exactly of the form (2.29) with $N \rightarrow N-p$ after relabelling of sites. We can thus restrict ourselves to the case where $\sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, i=1, \ldots, N^{\prime}$, for all $N^{\prime}$. To prove this case we proceed inductively. From equation (2.28) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\sigma \sigma}=\prod_{j=1}^{N}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{4}(u-v) \sigma_{j}}-(-)^{N} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1}{4}(v-u) \sigma_{j}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda\left(\sigma_{N}+\cdots+\sigma_{j+2}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{\lambda\left(\sigma_{j-1}+\cdots+\sigma_{j}\right)}\right] \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Let us now denote $F_{\sigma \sigma}=F_{N}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}\right)$. By inspection, $F_{1}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)$ and $F_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}\right)$ are symmetric in ( $u, v$ ). Suppose $F_{N-2}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N-2}\right)$ is symmetric in ( $u, v$ ) and, furthermore, that $\sigma_{k}+\sigma_{k+1}=0$ for some $k$. Then from (2.30) we have $F_{N}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{k},-\sigma_{k}, \ldots, \sigma_{N}\right)=$ $F_{N-2}\left(\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\sigma}_{k}, \hat{\sigma}_{k+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{N-2}\right)$ times a symmetric function of $(u, v)$, which is therefore symmetric in $(u, v)$. This is true for all $1 \leqslant k \leqslant N-1$. The only case left to consider is therefore $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\cdots=\sigma_{N}$. But from (2.30) we have $F_{N}\left(\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{N-1}, \sigma_{1}\right)=$ $F_{N-2}\left(\sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{N-1}\right)$ times a symmetric function of $(u, v)$, which is again symmetric. Thus by induction on $N$, the assertion (2.28) follows.

As in the periodic case, we assume that $Q_{R}(v)$ is invertible at some point $v=v_{0}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(v)=Q_{R}(v) Q_{R}^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right)=Q_{L}^{-1}\left(v_{0}\right) Q_{L}(v) \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (2.27) and (2.28) we obtain
$T(v) Q(v)=Q(v) T(v)=\phi(\lambda-v) Q\left(v+2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)-\phi(\lambda+v) Q\left(v-2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)$
and $Q(u) Q(v)=Q(v) Q(u)$. This allows $T(v), Q(v)$ and $Q\left(v \pm 2 \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ to be simultaneously diagonalized, yielding the relation (1.7) for their eigenvalues. The precise functional form of the eigenvalue $q(v)$ of $Q(v)$, given in (1.8), follows from (2.32) by noting that $T(v+2 \pi \mathrm{i})=-T(v)$ and considering the limits $v \rightarrow \pm \infty$.

## 3. Interfacial tension

In this section we derive the interfacial tension by solving the functional relation (1.7) and integrating over the band of largest eigenvalues of the transfer matrix [12]. We consider the case where $N$, the number of columns in the lattice, is even. The partition function of the model is expressed in terms of the eigenvalues $\Lambda(v)$ of the row-to-row transfer matrix $T(v)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum[\Lambda(v)]^{M} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is over all $2^{N}$ eigenvalues.
The interfacial tension is defined as follows. Consider a single row of the lattice. For a system with periodic boundary conditions, in the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit we see from (1.1) that the vertex weight $c$ is much greater than the weights $a$ and $b$, so in this limit, the row can be in one of two possible anti-ferroelectrically ordered ground states. These are made up entirely of spins with Boltzmann weight $c$, and are related to one another by arrow-reversal.

When we impose anti-periodic boundary conditions, this ground-state configuration is no longer consistent with $N$ even. To ensure the anti-periodic boundary condition, vertices with Boltzmann weight $c$ must occur an odd number of times in each row. Thus the lowestenergy configuration for the row in the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit will consist of $N-1$ vertices with weight $c$, and one vertex of either types $a$ or $b$. This different vertex can occur anywhere in the row.

As we add rows to form the lattice, the $a$ or $b$ vertex in each row forms a 'seam' running approximately vertically down the lattice; it can jump from left to right but the mean direction is downwards $\dagger$. A typical lowest-energy configuration is shown in figure 2. The extra free energy due to this seam is called the interfacial tension. This will grow with the height $M$ of the lattice, so we expect that for large $N$ and $M$ the partition function of the lattice will be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \sim \exp \left[(-N M f-M s) / k_{B} T\right] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\dagger$ This is the analogue of the anti-ferromagnetic seam in the Ising model [13].


Figure 2. A typical lowest-energy state of the system with $N$ even and anti-periodic boundary conditions. The dotted line indicates the interface dividing the lattice into two domains, each of which is an anti-ferroelectrically ordered ground state.
where $f$ is the normal bulk free energy, and $s$ is the interfacial tension.
We introduce the variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda} \quad z=\mathrm{e}^{-v / 2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing the Boltzmann weights in terms of $z$ and $x$, from (1.1) the model is physical when $z$ and $x$ are real, and $z$ lies in the interval

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{1 / 2} \leqslant z \leqslant x^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider $\lambda \geqslant 0$ in order that the Boltzmann weights are non-negative, so we must have $x \leqslant 1$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(z)=\prod_{J=1}^{N}\left(z-z_{j}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{j}=\mathrm{e}^{-v_{j} / 2}, j=1, \ldots, N$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=\Lambda(v)\left(2 z \rho^{-1}\right)^{N}(-)^{N / 2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of these variables, the functional relation (1.7) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(z) V(z)=\left(1-z^{2} x^{-1}\right)^{N} \tilde{Q}(-z x)-\left(1-z^{2} x\right)^{N} \tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both terms on the right-hand side of (3.7) are polynomials in $z$ of degree $3 N$, but the coefficients of 1 and $z^{3 N}$ vanish, so $z^{-1} V(z)$ is a polynomial in $z$ of degree $2 N-2$. We know how to solve equations of this form for both $V(z)$ and $\tilde{Q}(z)$ using Wiener-Hopf factorizations (see [7, 8, 14]). An alternative approach is via root densities [15].

We shall need some idea where the zeros of the polynomials $\tilde{Q}(z)$ and $V(z)$ lie in order to construct the Wiener-Hopf factorizations. From the anti-periodicity of $T(v)$ we see that $V(z)$ is an odd function of $z$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(-z)=-V(z) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so its zeros and poles must occur in plus-minus pairs. To locate the zeros in the $z$-plane, we consider $z$ to be a free variable, and vary the parameter $x$, in particular, looking at the limit $x \rightarrow 0$.

We find the following; in the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit, $N-2$ of the $N$ zeros of $\tilde{Q}(z)$ lie on the unit circle, the other two lying at distances proportional to $x^{1 / 2}$ and $x^{-1 / 2}$. For $V(z)$, there is the simple zero at the origin, and two zeros on the unit circle. The remaining $2 N-4$ zeros of $V(z)$ are divided into two sets, with $N-2$ of them that approach the origin and $N-2$ that approach $\infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. The $N$ zeros of the two polynomials that lie on the unit circle are spaced evenly around the circle.

As $x$ is increased, the zeros of $\tilde{Q}(z)$ and $z^{-1} V(z)$ will all shift. We assume that the distribution of the zeros mentioned above does not change significantly as $x$ increases. Thus


Figure 3. The complex $z$-plane; the curves $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}$are indicated, with the unit circle lying inside $\mathcal{C}_{\text {. }}$. The zeros of $\bar{Q}$ are indicated by ( $\omega$ ) and the zeros of $z^{-1} V(z)$ by $(t)$. There are no zeros of either function in the annulus between the contours $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and C..
the zeros that lie at the origin in the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit move out from the origin as $x$ increases, but not so far out as the unit circle, and similarly for the zeros that lie at $\infty$. Also, the zeros that lie on the unit circle are assumed to stay in some neighbourhood of the unit circle as $x$ increases (we will show that these zeros remain exactly on the unit circle as $x$ increases, which is what happens in the periodic boundary condition case).

Bearing in mind the above comments, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(z)=\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)(z-\alpha)\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $N-2$ whose zeros are $O(1)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, and $\alpha, \beta=\mathrm{O}\left(x^{1 / 2}\right)$, so $\alpha$ lies inside the unit circle, $\beta^{-1}$ outside.

Also, let $V(z)=z\left(z-t_{1}\right)\left(z-t_{2}\right) A(z) B(z)$, where $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ are both polynomials of degree $N-2$, the zeros of $A(z)$ being all the zeros of $V(z)$ that lie inside the unit circle, $B(z)$ containing all those that lie outside, and $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the zeros that lie on the unit circle. Since $V(z)$ is an odd function, both $A(z)$ and $B(z)$ must be even functions of $z$, and we must have $t_{1}=-t_{2}$, so letting $t_{1}=-t_{2}=t$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(z)=z\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) A(z) B(z) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Draw the contours $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{\text {- }}$ in the complex $z$-plane, both oriented in the positive direction, with $\mathcal{C}_{-}$outside the unit circle, $\mathcal{C}_{+}$outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}$, and such that there are no zeros of $\tilde{Q}(z)$ or $V(z)$ on the boundary of or inside the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}$. Then $\beta^{-1}$ and all the zeros of $B(z)$ lie outside $\mathcal{C}_{+}$(see figure 3 ).

Define $r(z)$ as the quotient of the two terms in the RHS of the functional relation (3.7);

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(z)=-\frac{\tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right)\left(1-z^{2} x\right)^{N}}{\tilde{Q}(-z x)\left(1-z^{2} x^{-1}\right)^{N}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(r(z)\right.$ has no zeros or poles on or between the curves $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\left.\mathcal{C}_{-}\right)$. Then in the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit, we see that $|r(z)| \sim 1 / z^{N}$, so when $|z|>1,|r(z)|<1$. Thus $\ln [1+r(z)]$ can be chosen to be single-valued and analytic when $z$ lies in the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}$. We can therefore make a Wiener-Hopf factorization of $1+r(w)$ by defining the functions $P_{+}(z)$ and $P_{-}(z)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln P_{ \pm}(z)= \pm \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_{ \pm}} \ln \left[1+r\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right] \frac{\mathrm{d} z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}-z} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P_{+}(z)$ is an analytic and non-zero (ANZ) function of $z$ for $z$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}$, and $P_{-}(z)$ is an ANZ function of $z$ for $z$ outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}$. As $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, we note that $P_{-}(z) \rightarrow 1$. When $z$ is inside the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}$, we have, by Cauchy's integral formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+r(z)=P_{+}(z) P_{-}(z)=\frac{V(z) \tilde{Q}(z)}{\tilde{Q}(-z x)\left(1-z^{2} x^{-1}\right)^{N}} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then define the functions $V_{ \pm}(z)$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}(z)=P_{+}(z) \tilde{Q}(-z x) /\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right)  \tag{3.14}\\
& V_{-}(z)=P_{-}(z)\left(1-z^{2} x^{-1}\right)^{N} /\left[\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)(z-\alpha)\right] \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{+}(z)$ is an ANZ function of $z$ for $z$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}, V_{-}(z)$ an ANZ function of $z$ for $z$ outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}$. We have split $V(z)$ into two factors, $V_{+}(z)$ and $V_{-}(z)$, with $V(z)=V_{+}(z) V_{-}(z)$ when $z$ is between $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}$.

Equating (3.10) with the expression $V(z)=V_{+}(z) V_{-}(z)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{+}(z)}{B(z)}=\frac{A(z)}{V_{-}(z)} z\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LHS (RHS) is an ANZ function of $z$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}$(outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}$), which is bounded as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ and so the function must be a constant, $c_{1}$ say. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}(z)=c_{1} B(z)  \tag{3.17}\\
& V_{-}(z)=c_{1}^{-1} z\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) A(z) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

When $|z|<1$, we proceed in the same way. Draw the curves $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}, \mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ inside the unit circle, $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$, and with $\alpha$ and all the zeros of $A(z)$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$.

In the limit $x \rightarrow 0,|1 / r(z)| \sim z^{N}$, so $|1 / r(z)|<1$. Thus $\ln [1+1 / r(z)]$ can be chosen to be single-valued and analytic between and on $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$. We can then Wiener-Hopf factorize $1+1 / r(z)$ by defining the functions $P_{+}^{\prime}(z)$ and $P_{-}^{\prime}(z)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln P_{ \pm}^{\prime}(z)= \pm \frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{C_{ \pm}^{\prime}} \ln \left[1+\frac{1}{r\left(z^{\prime}\right)}\right] \frac{\mathrm{d} z^{\prime}}{z^{\prime}-z} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{+}^{\prime}(z)$ is ANZ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}, P_{-}^{\prime}(z)$ is ANZ for $z$ outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$. As $|z| \rightarrow \infty, P_{-}^{\prime}(z) \rightarrow 1$. When $z$ is in the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$, Cauchy's integral formula now implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\frac{1}{r(z)}=P_{+}^{\prime}(z) P_{-}^{\prime}(z)=-\frac{V(z) \tilde{Q}(z)}{\tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right)\left(1-z^{2} x\right)^{N}} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $V_{+}^{\prime}(z)$ and $V_{-}^{\prime}(z)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}^{\prime}(z)=P_{+}^{\prime}(z)\left(1-z^{2} x\right)^{N} /\left[\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right)\right]  \tag{3.21}\\
& V_{-}^{\prime}(z)=P_{-}^{\prime}(z) \tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right) /(z-\alpha) \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

We have now factorized $V(z)$ into two factors, $V_{+}^{\prime}(z)$ which is is ANZ for $z$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$, and $V_{-}^{\prime}(z)$ which is ANZ for $z$ outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$. When $z$ is in the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$, we have the equality $V(z)=V_{+}^{\prime}(z) V_{-}^{\prime}(z)$.

When $z$ is inside this annulus, we equate (3.10) with $V(z)=V_{+}^{\prime}(z) V_{-}^{\prime}(z)$ to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{V_{+}^{\prime}(z)}{B(z)\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right)}=\frac{z A(z)}{V_{-}^{\prime}(z)} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now the LHS (RHS) is an ANZ function of $z$ for $z$ inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ (outside $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$ ). Thus both sides of the equation are constant, $c_{2}$ say, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}^{\prime}(z)=c_{2}\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) B(z)  \tag{3.24}\\
& V_{-}^{\prime}(z)=c_{2}^{-1} z A(z) \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

From equations (3.17), (3.24) and (3.18), (3.25), we have the following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{+}^{\prime}(z)=\left(c_{2} / c_{1}\right) V_{+}(z)\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right)  \tag{3.26}\\
& V_{-}(z)=\left(c_{1} / c_{2}\right) V_{-}^{\prime}(z)\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluate the constant $c_{1} / c_{2}$, consider (3.27) in the limit $z \rightarrow \infty$; we noted earlier that $P_{-}(z), P_{-}^{\prime}(z) \rightarrow 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, so from (3.5), (3.15) and (3.22) we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1} / c_{2}=1 \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may use equations (3.26) and (3.27) to derive recurrence relations satisfied by $\widetilde{Q}(z)$, which we can solve explicitly in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

From equations (3.14), (3.21) and (3.26), we deduce the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(z) \tilde{Q}(-z x)=\left(1-z^{2} x\right)^{N} \frac{(z-\alpha)\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right)}{\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right)} \frac{P_{+}^{\prime}(z)}{P_{+}(z)} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid when $z$ is inside $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$. In the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, the $P_{+}$and $P_{+}^{\prime}$ functions $\rightarrow 1$, so we find that $\tilde{Q}(z)$ is given by
$\tilde{Q}(z)=\tilde{Q}(0) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-z^{2} x^{4 m-3}}{1-z^{2} x^{4 m-1}}\right)^{N} \frac{\left(1-z^{2} t^{-2} x^{4 m-2}\right)}{\left(1-z^{2} t^{-2} x^{4 m-4}\right)} \frac{\left(1-z \alpha^{-1} x^{2 m-2}\right)}{\left(1+z \alpha^{-1} x^{2 m-1}\right)} \frac{\left(1-z \beta x^{2 m-2}\right)}{\left(1+z \beta x^{2 m-1}\right)}$.

This still contains the parameters $t, \alpha$ and $\beta$. From equation (3.29) in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, setting $z=0$ we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\tilde{Q}(0)]^{2}=-t^{-2} \alpha \beta^{-1} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations (3.15), (3.22) and (3.27), we get the recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(z) \tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right)=\left(1-z^{2} x^{-1}\right)^{N} \frac{(z-\alpha)\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right)}{\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right)} \frac{P_{-}(z)}{P_{-}^{\prime}(z)} \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is valid for $z$ outside $\mathcal{C}_{\text {_ }}$. Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ once more, so that the functions $P_{-}(z)$ and $P_{-}^{\prime}(z) \rightarrow 1$, we get
$\tilde{Q}(z)=z^{N} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m-3}}{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m-1}}\right)^{N} \frac{\left(1-z^{-2} t^{2} x^{4 m-2}\right)}{\left(1-z^{-2} t^{2} x^{4 m-4}\right)} \frac{\left(1-z^{-1} \alpha x^{2 m-2}\right)}{\left(1+z^{-1} \alpha x^{2 m-1}\right)} \frac{\left(1-z^{-1} \beta^{-1} x^{2 m-2}\right)}{\left(1+z^{-1} \beta^{-1} x^{2 m-1}\right)}$.

To derive an expression for $V(z)$ valid between $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$, using equation (3.27), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
V(z) & =V_{+}(z) V_{-}^{\prime}(z)\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) \\
& =\tilde{Q}(-z x) \tilde{Q}\left(-z \dot{x}^{-1}\right)\left(z^{2}-t^{2}\right) /\left[(z-\alpha)\left(z-\beta^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

We use (3.30) for $\tilde{Q}(-z x)$ and (3.33) for $\tilde{Q}\left(-z x^{-1}\right)$, and substitute into (3.34). This produces a lengthy expression for $V(z)$ involving the parameters $\alpha, \beta$ and $t$, which simplifies when one considers the oddness of $V(z)$. The poles of $V(z)$ must occur in pairs, and this is only possible if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \beta=-x \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this in, the infinite products involving $\alpha$ and $\beta$ cancel, and we get, from (3.6) and (3.34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda(v)=G(z / t)(\rho / 2 x)^{N} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-z^{2} x^{4 m-1}}{1-z^{2} x^{4 m+1}} \frac{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m-1}}{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m+1}}\right)^{N} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)= \pm \mathrm{i} x^{1 / 2}\left(z-z^{-1}\right) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-z^{2} x^{4 m}}{1-z^{2} x^{4 m-2}} \frac{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m}}{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m-2}}\right) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression for the eigenvalue is still dependent on the parameter $t$, different values of $t$ corresponding to different eigenvalues of the transfer matrix. All we know about $t$ so far is that it is bounded as $x \rightarrow 0$, and that it lies on the unit circle in the $x \rightarrow 0$ limit. We shall now show that it in fact remains exactly on the unit circle as $x$ increases.

We substitute into the functional relation (3.7), using (3.30) and (3.33) to get an expression for the product $\tilde{Q}(z) V(z)$ which is valid when $z$ is in the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$. Substituting into (3.7), the function on the right-hand side is equal to zero when $z$ is one of the $N-2$ zeros of $\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)$, or when $z= \pm t$. For the latter case, substituting $z=t$ and $-t$, and dividing the resulting equations, we arrive at the following relation between $\alpha, x$ and $t$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}=-t^{2} x \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which means that $t$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\phi(t)]^{N}= \pm 1 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(t)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=t \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-t^{2} x^{4 m-1}}{1-t^{2} x^{4 m-3}} \frac{1-t^{-2} x^{4 m-3}}{1-t^{-2} x^{4 m-1}}\right) . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $t$ lies on the unit circle for all $x$, there being $2 N$ possible choices for $t$. The partition function depends on $t$ only via $t^{2}$, so there are only $N$ distinct eigenvalues. The right-hand side of (3.7) also vanishes when $z$ is a zero of $\tilde{Q}_{1}(z)$ so in the same way we show that the zeros of $\bar{Q}_{1}(z)$ lie exactly on the unit circle for all $x$. As the zeros lie exactly on the unit circle, we may shift the curves $\mathcal{C}_{-}$and $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\prime}$ so that they just surround the unit circle. Hence our expressions for $\tilde{Q}(z)$ are valid all the way up to the unit circle; equation (3.30) is valid for $|z|<1$, and (3.33) is valid for $|z|>1$.

We now evaluate the partition function, as defined in (3.1), in the large-lattice limit. When $v$ is real, the eigenvalues (3.36) are complex, so as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the partition function, a sum over the $N$ eigenvalues defined by (3.39), becomes an integral over all the allowed values of $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\oint \rho(t)[\Lambda(v)]^{M} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is taken around the unit circle, and $\rho(t)$ is some distribution function, independent of $N$ and $M$. Substituting (3.34) into (3.41) then gives an expression for $Z$. (The number of rows $M$ is even to ensure periodic boundary conditions vertically, and so the $\pm$ sign in (3.37) is irrelevant.)

The eigenvalue (3.36) contains two distinct types of factors; those that are powers of $N$, and those that are not. The terms that increase exponentially with $N$ contribute to the bulk part of the partition function, the free energy per site in the thermodynamic limit. This factor is also independent of $t$, and can be taken out of the integral (3.41). The integral is then independent of $N$, so we have, from (3.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-f / k_{B} T}=(\rho / 2 x) \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-z^{2} x^{4 m-1}}{1-z^{2} x^{4 m+1}} \frac{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m-1}}{1-z^{-2} x^{4 m+1}}\right) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the free energy per site in the thermodynamic limit. This result agrees with the result for periodic boundary conditions (equations (8.9.9) and (8.9.10) of [1]).

From equation (3.2), the other factors in (3.34) make up the interfacial tension, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-M s / k_{B} T}=\oint \rho(t)[G(z / t)]^{M} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $M$ sufficiently large, we may evaluate this integral using saddle-point integration. The integral is given by the value of the integrand at its saddle point, together with some multiplicative factors that we can disregard as $M \rightarrow \infty$. The function $G$ satisfies the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=G(-1 / z) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that the function has a saddle point when $z= \pm$ i. Hence the integrand in (3.43) is maximized when

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=t_{\text {saddle }}= \pm \mathrm{i} z \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $z$ is arbitrary, the saddle points may lie off the unit circle; they will however lie inside the annulus between $\mathcal{C}_{+}$and $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\prime}$ because of the restriction (3.4), and so we will be able to deform the contour to pass through these points. Hence we arrive at the final result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-s / k_{B} T}=2 x^{1 / 2} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1+x^{4 m}}{1+x^{4 m-2}}\right)^{2} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$
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